

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
9 **AT SEATTLE**

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JANN E. ZABEL,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. C07-0543-JCC

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No.  
16 3). Pro se Defendant, a lawyer licensed in the State of Washington, has filed no opposition to  
17 Plaintiff's motion. Having considered Plaintiff's brief and the exhibits submitted in support of the  
18 motion, the Court finds no genuine issue of material fact and hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's motion.

19 **I. Background**

20 On September 2, 1999, Defendant Jann Zabel executed a promissory note to secure a Direct  
21 Consolidation loan from the United States Department of Education. (Promissory Note (Dkt. No. 4-  
22 1 at 2-5).) On November 1, 1999, Plaintiff disbursed the loan under the William D. Ford Direct  
23 Loan Program in the amount of \$66,438.26, at an interest rate of 8.25% per year. (Certificate of  
24 Indebtedness (Dkt. No. 4-1 at 1).) Plaintiff demanded payment according to the terms of the note,  
25

1 and Defendant defaulted on December 23, 2000. (Certificate (Dkt. No. 4-1 at 1).) On April 12,  
2 2007, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in this District to collect on Defendant's indebtedness on the loan  
3 (Dkt. No. 1). As of February 5, 2007, Defendant's total indebtedness on the loan swelled to  
4 \$98,629.78. (Certificate (Dkt. No. 4-1 at 1).) The Defendant has not disputed these facts and has  
5 filed no Answer.

## 6 **II. Analysis**

7 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(b)(2), failure to file an opposition brief "may be considered  
8 by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Rules W.D. Wash. 7(b)(2).  
9 Defendant has failed to file papers in opposition to the motion, and accordingly, this Court considers  
10 Plaintiff's motion meritorious.

11 Additionally, Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governs summary judgment  
12 motions, and provides, in relevant part, that "[t]he judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if  
13 the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the  
14 affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving  
15 party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). A party seeking summary  
16 judgment must "identify those parts of the record that indicate the absence of a genuine issue of  
17 material fact." *Brinson v. Linda Rose Joint Venture*, 53 F.3d 1044, 1048 (9th Cir. 1995). Once that  
18 showing has been made, "the nonmoving party must designate specific facts showing that there is a  
19 genuine issue for trial." *Id.* (internal citations omitted).

20 Here, Plaintiff has identified the material facts of Defendant's loan and default. The signed  
21 loan papers, together with a Certificate of Indebtedness executed by the United States Department  
22 of Education, San Francisco, California, submitted as an attachment to the motion, confirms that  
23 Defendant owes Plaintiff \$98,629.78 in unpaid loan principal and accumulated interest (Dkt. No. 4-  
24  
25

1 1). Plaintiff has carried its burden. Defendant has made no assertion that material facts are in  
2 dispute.

3 Therefore, the Court concludes that Plaintiff is entitled to summary judgment against the  
4 Defendant as follows:

5 1. Principal \$66,438.26

6 Prejudgment interest  
7 through February 5, 2007,  
8 at 8.25% per year \$32,191.52

9 TOTAL: \$98,629.78

10 2. Interest accrues thereafter on the principal amount until entry of judgment at the rate  
11 of 8.25% per year;

12 3. Interest accrues on the total judgment from the date of judgment at the legal rate in  
13 effect on the date of judgment, per year and compounded annually until paid in full;

14 4. Filing fee of \$350.00 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2412(a)(2) and 1914(a); and

15 5. Docket fees of \$20.00 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1923.

### 16 **III. Conclusion**

17 Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 3) is hereby GRANTED.

18 SO ORDERED this 6th day of September, 2007.

19 

20 John C. Coughenour  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25